



Survey Results - Saturday Morning Junior Tennis Competition

Term 2 , 2014


Play		(Multiple Items)
Count of Surname		
Duration		Total
2 hour		41
3 hour		8
Any		20
(blank)		15
Grand Total		84




Play		(Multiple Items)
Count of Surname		
PerTeam		Total
	2	30
	3	10
	4	2
Any		22
(blank)		20
Grand Total		84




Play		(Multiple Items)
Count of Surname		
TeamFormation		Total
Any		27
Players form own teams		14
SMJ Committee assigned teams		23
(blank)		20
Grand Total		84




Play	(Multiple Items)
Count of Surname	
SetType	Total
Any	19
Singles and Doubles	50
(blank)	15
Grand Total	84



Play	(Multiple Items)
Count of Surname	
Promotion	Total
Any	28
Singles Results	24
Team Results	16
(blank)	16
Grand Total	84



Play	(Multiple Items)
Count of Surname	
Locations	Total
Any	27
I nominate a home court (Home-away)	3
Same court location each week	39
(blank)	15
Grand Total	84



Notes:

The answers to each of the 6 survey questions is from the 84 persons who played in Term 2 of 2014

The answer 'Any', was the default answer available on the web entry form, meaning no choice was made

The answer '(blank)' was from paper forms when no item was selected, OR for those people that did not fill in any registration form for Term 2.

Conclusions

There was overwhelming support for the current 2 hour duration.

The clear majority also supported the 2 players per team format. There were no votes for 1 person teams.

For a 2 hour duration of play, it is not possible to have more than 2 people per team.

100% supported continuing to play singles and doubles. There were zero votes for singles only or doubles only.

The clear majority wanted to stay at one court for the term as we currently do.

The majority supported SMJ assigned teams (as we currently do). Having some people nominating their teams is tolerated in some cases, however it is frowned upon by the junior committee as it often distorts the gradings.

The majority supported promotion based on singles results rather than team results (about 60% - 40%), although there were a lot of 'Any' and 'Blank' responses to this question. This question is hard to separate from the Committee assigning of teams versus player nominated teams. To promote based on team means teams (at least winning teams) must stay together (for how long?)

It is therefore concluded that nothing will change for Term 3 until someone can demonstrate to the Junior Committee and champion a better ranking and team assignment criteria than we currently use.

Any comments or suggestions, please contact

Doug Freeman
Junior Competition Secretary
Canterbury Bankstown Tennis Association
0418-218971